## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

IN RE: ZANTAC (RANITIDINE)
PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION

MDL NO 2924 20-MD-2924

JUDGE ROBIN L ROSENBERG MAGISTRATE JUDGE BRUCE REINHART

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Mikale Harris	

### SHORT-FORM COMPLAINT – VERSION 2

The Plaintiff(s) named below, by counsel, file(s) this Short Form Complaint against Defendants named below. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint ("AMPIC") in *In re: Zantac (Ranitidine)*Products Lability Litigation, MDL No. 2924 (S.D. Fla). Plaintiff(s) file(s) this Short-Form Complaint – Version 2 as permitted by Pretrial Order No. 31 and as modified by the Court's Orders regarding motions to dismiss [DE 2532, 2512, 2513, 2515, and 2016].

Plaintiff(s) select(s) and indicate(s) by completing where requested, the Parties and Causes of Actions specific to this case. Where certain claims require additional pleading or case specific facts and individual information, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

Plaintiff(s), by counsel, allege as follows:

### I. PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

## A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1. Plaintiff(s) **Mikale Harris** ("Plaintiff(s)") brings this action (check the applicable designation):

];
]

	In representative capacity as the, on behalf of the injured party, (Injured Party's Name)		
2.	Injured Party is currently a resident and citizen of <b>St. Petersburg</b> , <b>Florida</b> claims damages as set forth below.		
	—OR—		
	Decedent died on N/A. At the time of Decedent's death, Decedent was a resident and citizen of (City, State) N/A.		
If any party	claims loss of consortium,		
3.	N/A alleges damages for loss of consortium.		
4.	At the time of the filing of this Short Form Complaint, Consortium Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of (City, State)		
5.	At the time the alleged injury occurred, Consortium Plaintiff resided in (City, State)  N/A  .		
B. DEF	ENDANT(S)		
6.	Plaintiff(s) name(s) the following Defendants from the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint in this action:		
	a. Brand-Name Manufacturers: GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC; GLAXOSMITHKLINE (AMERICA) INC.; GLAXOSMITHKLINE PLC; BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC; BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM CORPORATION; BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM USA CORPORATION; SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. LLC; SANOFI US SERVICES INC.; CHATTEM, INC.; PATHEON MANUFACTURING SERVICES LLC		
	b. Generic Manufacturers:		
	c. Distributors and Repackager:		
	d. Retailers:		

# e. Others Not Named in the AMPIC: DOES 1-100

### C. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. Identify the Federal District Court in which Plaintiff(s) would have filed this action in the absence of Pretrial Order No. 11 (direct filing) [or, if applicable, the District Court to which their original action was removed]:

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

8. Jurisdiction is proper upon diversity of citizenship.

#### II. PRODUCT USE

9.	The Injured I	Party used Zantac and/or generic ranitidine: [Check all that apply]
	[X]	By prescription
	[ ]	Over the counter

10. The Injured Party used Zantac and/or generic ranitidine from approximately (month, year) 08/2015 to 12/2018.

## III. PHYSICAL INJURY

11. As a result of the Injured Party's use of the medications specified above, [he/she] was diagnosed with the following specific type of cancer (check all that apply):

Check all that apply	Cancer Type	Approximate Date of Diagnosis
[X]	BLADDER CANCER	12/01/2018

	BREAST CANCER
[]	COLORECTAL CANCER
[]	ESOPHAGEAL CANCER
	GASTRIC CANCER
[]	KIDNEY CANCER
	LIVER CANCER
	LUNG CANCER
	PANCREATIC CANCER
	PROSTATE CANCER
	OTHER CANCER:
	DEATH (CAUSED BY CANCER)

12. Defendants, by their actions or inactions, proximately caused the injuries to Plaintiff(s).

#### IV. CAUSES OF ACTION ASSERTED

- 13. The following Causes of Action asserted in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint are asserted against the specified defendants in each class of Defendants enumerated therein, and the allegations with regard thereto are adopted in this Short Form Complaint by reference.
- 14. By checking the appropriate causes of action below, Plaintiff(s) assert these causes of action based upon the law and applicable Sub-Counts of the following state(s):<sup>1</sup>

Check all that	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action
apply			was asserted in the AMPIC
	I	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through	All States and
		Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name	Territories, Except
		Manufacturer Defendants)	DE, IA, MA, NC,
			PA, and VA
	II	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Warnings	All States and
		and Precautions (Against Brand-Name	Territories, Except
		Manufacturer Defendants)	LA, NJ, OH, and
			WA
	III	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through	All States and
		Proper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and	Territories, Except
		Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In selecting the relevant states above, Plaintiffs reserve all rights to argue choice of law issues at a later time.

Check	Count	Cause of Action	States for which
all that apply			the cause of action was asserted in
арріу			the AMPIC
			DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
	IV	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Proper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, <b>Except</b> LA, NJ, OH, OK, and WA
	V	Negligence - Failure to Warn Consumers through the FDA (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	CA, DE, DC, HI, IN, KY, LA, MD, MA, MN, MO, NV, NY, OR, and PA
	VI	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, <b>Except</b> DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
	VII	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Improper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, <b>Except</b> DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
	VIII	Negligent Failure to Test (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	KS, TX
	IX	Negligent Product Containers: (Against Brand- Name and Generic Manufacturers of pills)	All States and Territories
	X	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the Labeled Range (Against All Retailer and Distributor Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XI	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the Labeled Range (Against All Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XII	Negligent Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in California)	CA only
	XIII	Reckless Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in Massachusetts)	MA only
	XIV	Unjust Enrichment (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XV	Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XVI	Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories

If Count XV or Count XVI is alleged, additional facts supporting the claim(s):		
	_	

### V. JURY DEMAND

15. Plaintiff(s) hereby demand(s) a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

#### VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) has/have been damaged as a result of Defendants' actions or inactions and demand(s) judgment against Defendants on each of the above-referenced causes of action, jointly and severally to the full extent available in law or equity, as requested in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint.

DATED: 17th day of November, 2022

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Paige N. Boldt
Paige N. Boldt
Texas State Bar No. 24082626
Mikal C. Watts
Texas State Bar No. 20981820
Alicia D. O'Neill
Texas State Bar No. 24040801
WATTS GUERRA, LLC
5726 West Hausman Rd., Suite 119 San

Antonio, Texas 78249
Phone: (210) 447-0500
Fax: (210) 447-0501
pboldt@wattsguerra.com
mcwatts@wattsguerra.com
aoneil@wattsguerra.com

Counsel for Plaintiff